

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,506	11/12/2003	Todd K. Rosengart	3183-002-01	7219
33432	7590 02/03/2006		EXAM	INER
KILYK & BOWERSOX, P.L.L.C. 400 HOLIDAY COURT			JOHNSON III	, HENRY M
SUITE 102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WARRENTON, VA 20186			3739	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 1: 4: 11-	A !! !!-	
	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commons	10/706,506	ROSENGART, TODD K.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry M. Johnson, III	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No. This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
•	and the make on		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-18 and 20-35 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 25 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-18,20-24 and 26-35 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
·	4		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 November 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/14/2005, with respect to the 35 USC 102 rejections have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections based on prior art have been withdrawn. The amended claims, however, have resulted in indefinite issues with the claims. Multiple embodiments have been disclosed, however, the claims endeavor to be all inclusive resulting in conflicts and enablement issues. Regarding the placement of the non-mechanical cutting device, the drawings only show this device associated with the second elongated instrument.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 15 the laser (line 6) is designated as 65. The armature is designated 65 in line 7.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-18, 20-24 and 26-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the non-mechanical cutting device is cited as being radially displaced from the device. In the pre-delivery configuration, the non-mechanical cutting device is not radially displaced from the device and only assumes the radially displaced position upon deployment.

Art Unit: 3739

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the collapsible plates are disclosed as associated with one of the elongated instruments. No such structural cooperation is cited.

Claims 8, 11 and 12 are indefinite as the placement of the collapsible plate in two different configurations results in a distinct species for each.

Claim 20 is indefinite as the placement of the non-mechanical cutting device in two different configurations results in a distinct species for each.

Claim 21 is indefinite for citing the second elongated instrument includes the non-mechanical cutting device and for cited the non-mechanical cutting device as separately advanced over the second instrument.

Claim 22 is indefinite as the placement of the non-mechanical cutting device in two different configurations results in a distinct species for each.

Claim 30 recites the limitation "said heart ventricle protecting device" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 31 recites the limitation "said heart ventricle protecting device" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 32 recites the limitation "said heart ventricle protecting device" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 34 recites the limitation "said heart ventricle protecting device" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 32 and 33 are indefinite, as the protecting device has not been cited as have an inflation capability.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,506 Page 4

Art Unit: 3739

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every

feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the non-mechanical cutting device

must be shown on the first elongated instrument or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s).

No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to

the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing

sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet,

even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing

should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure

must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures

must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views

of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the

renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet"

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant

will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The

objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 25 is allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M. Johnson, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-4768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, sometimes the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry M. Johnson, III

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3739